

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/812,587	Applicant(s) PRESSATO ET AL.	
	Examiner Leigh C. Maier	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) Leigh C. Maier.

(2) Susan Gorman.

Status of Application: amended

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

ODP over US 7,202,320 in view of Della Valle (EP 341 745).

Claims discussed:

29

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exr indicated that the argument regarding ODP was persuasive except with respect to claim 29, and the rejection would be maintained. Ms Gorman authorized cancellation of this claim and examiner's amendment set forth in the attached NOA

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)